

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,718	09/25/2003	Kouji Yokouchi	2091-0297P	6370
	10/669,718 09/25/2003 Kouji Yokouchi	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		PATEL, JAYESH A		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	<u> </u>
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	EL ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/669,718	УОКОИСНІ, КОИЈІ
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jayesh A. Patel	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relicted will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2003</u>	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□] objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core		-
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	·	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a		received
See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies flot	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •

Application/Control Number: 10/669,718 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's response to the last office action, filed June 28,2007 has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Applicant's amendment has required new grounds of rejection.
- 3. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the amendments. The examiner does not agree to applicant's arguments on page 11, 12 regarding Ohta does not have a synthesized image (composite). Ohta (EP 0924648 A2) in (Fig 26) teaches that the template image (CG image) and the user image (natural image) are synthesized (composite). The composite image is separated into template image and the user image; the user image is subjected to a color correction and is resynthesized (re-composite) to form a combined image. Pages 2 and 11 also explain this concept in detail.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-7,9-13,15-19,21- 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohta (EP 0924648 A2) hereafter Ohta in view of Saito Yasuhiro (JP 10028221 A) hereafter Yasuhiro.

4. Regarding Claim 1, Ohta discloses a method of performing image processing on an image synthesized from a natural image and a computer graphic (CG) image (Fig 26 and Fig 27). Ohta also discloses separating said synthesized image into a natural-image region (User Image) and a CG-image region (template image) at (Fig 26 and Fig 27 Block 132). Ohta also discloses designating and extracting (cut out or partial image) from not only a natural image but also an image (synthesized image) represented using a color palette (specified color pixels). Ohta further discloses computing an image-processing parameter for said image processing, based on said natural-image region (Block 133 Fig 27 and Page 2 Para 0010-0015); acquiring an intermediate image by performing said image processing on said synthesized image (Fig 26 and Fig 27 **Block 133)**, based on said image processing parameter; and acquiring a processed image by synthesizing said natural-image region contained in said intermediate image and said CG-image region contained in said synthesized image (Fig 26 and Fig 27 Block 134).

Ohta is silent and does not specifically recite separating the natural and CG image regions by removing the pixels of a specified color from the synthesized image. Yasuhiro discloses the (discrimination) extraction of a specific color and than compositing with the image data, which are not extracted to output an image with texture in abstract. Yasuhiro extracts the color pixels; color converts it and synthesizes it with original image data to output a composite

Art Unit: 2624

image with color and texture. Both Ohta and Yasuhiro are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings of outputting a composite image in the method and apparatus of Ohta for the above reasons.

- **5.** Regarding claim 3, Ohta and Yasuhiro discloses the method as set forth in claim 1. Ohta further discloses wherein said synthesized image is obtained by reading out synthesized image data from a storage medium in (Fig 1 Element 1, 11 and Page 6 Lines 20-42).
- 6. Regarding Claim 4, Ohta and Yasuhiro discloses a method as set forth in Claim 1. Ohta further discloses wherein specification of a region containing said natural image is received at (Page 3 Lines 15-24 and Lines 32-33); said synthesized image is separated into said natural-image contained region and the remaining region at (Page 3 Lines 21-24); and said natural-image region and said CG-image region are separated from each other by removing (replace) a region that has the same color as a color contained in said remaining region, from said region containing said natural-image at (Page 3 Lines 15-24).
- 7. Regarding Claim 5, Ohta and Yasuhiro discloses the method as set forth in

Art Unit: 2624

claim 1.Ohta Further discloses wherein said separated natural image and CG image are displayed in (Fig 26 Element 6 and Col 8 Lines 22-28).

- 8. Regarding Claim 6, Ohta and Yasuhiro discloses the method as set forth in claim 1. Ohta further disclose wherein a maximum rectangular region that is inscribed in said natural-image region is set; and said image-processing parameter is computed based on an image within said maximum rectangular region at (Fig 18 and Page 3 Lines 29 –31). The rectangle circumscribes the extracted region from the natural image and the pixel data and the coordinate data (color correction or image processing) are produced based on the extracted data.
- Claim 7 is a corresponding image processor Claim of Claim 1. See the explanation of Claim 1.
- **10.** Claim 9 is a corresponding image processor Claim of Claim 3. See the explanation of Claim 3.
- **11.** Claim 10 is a corresponding image processor Claim of Claim 4. See the explanation of Claim 4.

- **12.** Claim 11 is a corresponding image processor Claim of Claim 5. See the explanation of Claim 5.
- **13.** Claim 12 is a corresponding image processor Claim of Claim 6. See the explanation of Claim 6.
- **14.** Claim 13 is a corresponding system Claim of a method of Claim 1. See the explanation of Claim 1.
- **15.** Claim 15 is a corresponding system Claim of a method of Claim 3. See the explanation of Claim 3.
- **16.** Claim 16 is a corresponding system Claim of a method of Claim 4. See the explanation of Claim 4.
- **17.** Claim 17 is a corresponding system Claim of a method of Claim 5. See the explanation of Claim 5.
- **18.** Claim 18 is a corresponding system Claim of a method of Claim 6. See the explanation of Claim 6.

Art Unit: 2624

- 19. Claim 19 is a corresponding Computer readable storage device having recorded the program for causing the computer to execute the method of Claim1. See the explanation of Claim 1.
- 20. Claim 21 is a corresponding Computer readable storage device having recorded the program for causing the computer to execute the method of Claim3. See the explanation of Claim 3.
- 21. Claim 22 is a corresponding Computer readable storage device having recorded the program for causing the computer to execute the method of Claim4. See the explanation of Claim 4.
- 22. Claim 23 is a corresponding Computer readable storage device having recorded the program for causing the computer to execute the method of Claim5. See the explanation of Claim 5.
- 23. Claim 24 is a corresponding Computer readable storage device having recorded the program for causing the computer to execute the method of Claim6. See the explanation of Claim 6.

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,8,14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohta, Yasuhiro in further view of Joshi et al (US 5982381) hereafter Joshi.

24. Regarding Claim 2, Ohta and Yasuhiro discloses the method as set forth in claim 1. Ohta and Yasuhiro however does not disclose wherein a boundary portion between said natural-image region and CG-image region contained in said synthesized image is blurred and then said CG-image region in said synthesized image and said natural-image region in said intermediate image are synthesized.

Joshi discloses wherein a boundary portion between said natural-image region and CG-image region contained in said synthesized image is blurred and then said CG-image region in said synthesized image and said natural-image region in said intermediate image are synthesized at (Col 1 Lines 19-45 and Col 5 Lines 54-60). Joshi also discloses generating a distance mask using chamfering technique. Joshi also discloses the invention provides high calculation efficiency and fast response at (Col 2 Lines 12-15). Ohta, Yasuhiro

Art Unit: 2624

and Joshi are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use image blurring techniques as taught by Joshi in smoothing the boundary edges in the image processing method and apparatus of Ohta and Yasuhiro for the above reasons.

- **25.** Claim 8 is a corresponding Image processor performing a method of Claim 2. Therefore see the explanation of Claim 2.
- **26.** Claim 14 is a system performing corresponding method of Claim 2. Therefore see the explanation of Claim 2.
- **27.** Claim 20 is a Computer readable storage device performing a method of Claim 2. Therefore see the explanation of Claim 2.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayesh A. Patel whose telephone number is 571-270-1227. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7.00am to 4.30 pm (5-4-9). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax

Art Unit: 2624

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jayesh Patel 08/13/07

Χ?

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER